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Abstract—The virtual collaborative workspaces allow integration of work groups in which members are not physically contiguous. There is a vast literature related to modeling of software architectures that support this type of environment. However, the existing formalisms model the interaction between actors and between system and system components. In this context, this paper proposes modeling artifacts (which supplement the existing) to describe: domain vocabulary through table category-concept-definition; interactions among actors through interaction cases, interaction group diagrams, interaction procedures and sequence diagram of group dynamics; and development by group of conceptual artifacts through diagram of development of conceptual objects. we present a proof of concept to illustrate the proposal.

Index Terms—Virtual spaces, collaborative work, conceptual modeling formalisms.

I. INTRODUCTION

Collaborative work is based on communication and exchange of information between individuals in order to develop a conceptual object [1], [2]. Systems within the paradigm Computer Supported Cooperative Work (CSCW) constitute an approach [3] to facilitate group work processes mediated by information technology [4].

It has been proposed [5] that there are three main lines of systems development within the paradigm of CSCW:

1) Development ad-hoc, in which systems are built in a completely adapted way to the specific problem to which it is intended to support, this has been, until now, the usual trend in creating groupware systems.
2) The use of programming toolkits, which provide a higher level of programming abstraction through functions and APIs (Application Programmer Interface).
3) The development of CSCW systems based on components that allow the construction of CSCW systems using predefined building blocks that can be reused and combined in different ways.

Moreover, Molina and colleagues [5] indicate that another line of development is proposed to base the development process in the conceptual modelling of the collaborative virtual environment. There are some proposals for conceptual modelling notations of aspects of group work. Among these notations may be mentioned: a) APM (Action Port Model) focused on modeling the workflows developed by groups [6]; b) PROCLETS that proposes a notation for interaction processes associated with managing multiple workflows [7]; c) AMENITIES, that proposes extensions of UML notation (COMO-UML) for groupware modelling with emphasis on the modelling of dynamic aspects [8]; and d) UML-G, also focuses on the modelling of groupware but with emphasis on data modelling [9], [10].

This chapter defines the problem of modelling the interactions in a working group (Section II), proposes an integrated modelling framework (Section III) composed of formalisms: Table Category-Concept-Definition (Section III.A), Interaction Cases and Interaction Group Diagrams (Section III.B), Interaction Procedures (Section III.C), Sequence Diagram of Group Dynamics (Section III.D), and Diagram of Development of Conceptual Objects (Section III.E); presents a concept proof of the introduced formalisms (Section IV); and formulates conclusions and future research lines (Section V).

II. DEFINITION OF THE PROBLEM

Several authors [5], [11]-[13] have pointed out the need to address prior to CSCW system modelling; the modelling of aspects of group dynamics such as social interactions and responsibilities among individuals, noting that the current state of conceptual modelling work group is characterized by the following limitations:

1) Lack of theoretical and computational models that allow to specify adequately the group activities mediated by information technology.
2) Difficulty in addressing the integral modelling of interactive aspects among individuals and task aspects of group work.
3) Lack of adequate conceptual specification artifacts for modeling collaborative tasks that have to be mediated by CSCW systems.

In the context of formalisms to develop the analysis and design of CSCW it may be done the following research question: Is it possible to develop new modelling formalisms, to complement ones previously presented, to model interactions among group members and its social dynamic, which should be managed by CSCW systems?

III. PROPOSED SOLUTION

The proposed framework for analysis and design of virtual spaces oriented to collaborative work is composed by the following modelling formalisms: Table Category-Concept-Definition (presented in Section III.A), Interaction Cases and
Interaction Group Diagrams (presented in Section III.B), Interaction Procedures (presented in Section III.C), Sequence Diagram of Group Dynamics (presented in Section III.D), and Diagram of Development of Conceptual Objects (presented in Section III.E).

A. Proposed Formalism: Table Category – Concept – Definition

In the context of formalisms for knowledge representation proposed by the Knowledge Engineering [14], [15], in [16] was introduced Table “Concept–Category–Definition” (Table CCD) whose function is to represent the factual knowledge of the conceptual model of group dynamics. The CCD table introduces, in lexicographic order, the concepts that are going to be used in other formalisms specifying the category and giving the concept definition. The formalism is captured as a table as shown in Table I.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Concept 1</td>
<td>Category 1</td>
<td>Definition of Concept 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept 2</td>
<td>Category 1</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concept N</td>
<td>Category Q</td>
<td>Definition of Concept N</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

A concept can be of any of the following categories: actor, object or interaction. Actors are who bring to life the group dynamics. Objects are the entities that receive the exercise of the powers of the actors interaction. The interactions define processes that actors agree to perform on objects.

B. Proposed Formalism: Interaction Cases and Interaction Group Diagrams

The modelling of the interactions between actors are made using two formalisms: [a] Interaction Cases and [b] Interaction Group Diagrams. An Interaction Case captures interactions between two actors (see Fig. 1).

In particular, the reflection is a case of interaction of an actor with himself. An Interaction Group Diagram provides, in an integrated way, interactions among all actors considered in the modelling process (see Fig. 2).

The notation proposed for Interaction Cases and Interaction Group Diagrams is based on use-cases and use-case diagrams [17], [18], except that in the objects paradigm are modelled interactions between actors and the system, and is not considered the interactions among actors.

In the formalism proposed in this chapter, are used solid lines to model interactions between actors and dotted lines to model the reflections of an actor.

C. Proposed Formalism: Interaction Procedures

The procedures describe the composition of interactions among the actors made for the development of an object. To express the procedures that actors can perform on the objects, is proposed to use predicates of order N [19], [20]. It is used prefix notation and the used grammar shown in Table II.

| < ACTION > | ::= | < Action 1> | | < Action 2> | ... |
| < ACTOR >  | ::= | <Actor 1> | | <Actor 2> | ... | <Actor Q> |
| < OBJECT > | ::= | <Object 1> | | <Object 2> | ... | <Object N> |
| < PROCEDURE > | ::= | <ACTION> | "" | <ACTOR> | "" | <OBJECT> | "" |
|             |     | <ACTION> | "" | <ACTOR> | "" | <PROCEDURE> | "" |

The predicate logic of order N provides rich semantic for representing the procedures. For example the following expression:

ACTION-T(ACTOR-S, ACTION-R(ACTOR-Q, OBJECT-P))

Can be interpreted as "... the ACTOR-S applies the ACTION-T on what is the result of that ACTOR-Q applies the ACTION-R on the OBJECT-P ...".

D. Proposed Formalism: Sequence Diagram of Group Dynamics

To express the group dynamics among the actors in the timeline imposed by the procedures of interaction, the authors have introduced in previous works [16], [21] the Sequence Diagram of Group Dynamics. These diagrams are based on sequence diagrams [17], [18]. An theoretical example of Table CCD is presented in Table III and a
Sequence Diagram of Group Dynamics is presented in Fig. 3.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concept</th>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Definition</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ACTOR-Q</td>
<td>Actor</td>
<td>El ACTOR-Q is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTOR-P</td>
<td>Actor</td>
<td>El ACTOR-P is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTOR-R</td>
<td>Actor</td>
<td>El ACTOR-R is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION-S</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>El ACTION-S is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION-T</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>El ACTION-T is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ACTION-R</td>
<td>Action</td>
<td>El ACTION-R is ...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OBJECT-P</td>
<td>Object</td>
<td>El OBJECT-P is ...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

E. Proposed Formalism: Diagram of Conceptual Objects Development

Virtual spaces dedicated to collaborative work are intended to facilitate mediation between teams whose members are not physically contiguous, and have to develop a conceptual object (for example: research, project development, software, thesis plan, technical articles, reports, among others). The modelling of interactions in virtual spaces dedicated to collaborative work should help to specify the interactions among group members, and the developing work stages of the conceptual object that the collaborative working team is carrying on. The virtual space for collaborative work must satisfy the requirement of keeping and documenting the different versions of the conceptual object that is being developed by the collaborative working team; leaving a record of the evolution from the agreement between the members of the working group since initial specifications of the conceptual object until its final stage development. For modelling of the transformations of objects is proposed the formalism: Diagram of Conceptual Objects Development. These diagrams are based on Petri Nets [22] and are digraphs with two types of nodes: the "conceptual objects" which will be denoted with circles and the "transformations" that will be denoted by rectangles. The "transformation" represents the action that must to be performed to make evolve the "conceptual object" from a level of development into another. An theoretical example of a Diagram of Conceptual Objects Development is presented in Fig. 4.

Fig. 4. Theoretical example of diagram of conceptual objects development.

IV. PROOF OF CONCEPT

To illustrate the proposed formalism is provided a proof of concept based on a case brought in [21]. The situation described in the case is based on developed interactions within a virtual space during the thesis plan review of a master’s degree student made by a PhD degree student (co-director of the master's thesis) under supervision of a senior researcher (director of the master's thesis and doctoral’s thesis). The case "Review of Master's Thesis Plan" is described in the following bit of text:

"...Master's degree student sends the PhD degree student, his master's thesis plan developed previously. PhD degree student reviews the plan and made the corrections and comments that he considers relevant and then send them to master’s degree student. He appropriates the corrections and comments to continue working on his master's thesis plan. Once the PhD degree student believes that the version of the master's thesis plan has not problems, forward it to senior researcher asking for his overseeing of the final version of master’s thesis plan. Senior researcher oversees the corrections made by the PhD degree student. As a result of overseeing, he can send comments which may include observations about the correction made and/or to make further corrections to be introduced in master’s thesis plan. Upon receiving these comments, the PhD degree student appropriates these and forwards them to master's degree student for his appropriating also, allowing in this way the generation of new versions of the document ..."

In the case are identified: three actors, one object, eight interactions. These are shown in Table CCD shown in Table IV.

From the actors and interactions identified in Table CCD, interaction cases are presented in Fig. 5. Cases of interaction are integrated in the group interaction diagram that is shown in Fig. 6.

Fig. 5 a). Interaction case between master student and PhD student.

Fig. 5 b). Interaction case between PhD student and senior researcher.

Fig. 6. Group interaction diagram among master student, PhD student and senior researcher.
The group dynamics that develops among actors within the timeline is expressed through the interaction group diagrams that is shown in Fig. 7. The conceptual object identified is "Master Thesis Plan" and the Diagram of Conceptual Objects Development is shown in Fig. 8.

V. CONCLUSIONS

Virtual spaces dedicated to collaborative work are emerging as a tool to integrate work teams whose members
are not physically contiguous. The first experiences in Argentina in the use of such environments have emerged in the University and are linked to the collaboration of researchers from several countries in training human resources in research [21]. The virtual environments used have a low level of integration between its components and do not often have the functionality of asynchronous communication (online) among members of the workgroup. It is perhaps this characteristic that has made evident the need for formalisms for modeling of the interactions between members of the working group and the evolution of conceptual objects they create.

Given this context, this paper has introduced the integrated formalisms: table category-concept-definition, interaction cases and interaction group diagrams, interaction procedures, sequence diagram of group dynamics, and diagram of development of conceptual objects. It has been shown the use of the presented formalisms through a test case taken from recent literature on the subject.

As future line of research work, we are going to validate the generality of the use of the modeling formalisms proposed in two domains: management of software development teams, and management of architectural design teams. Both cases with members no physically contiguous.
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